

INTERNAL REVIEW
SITE ASSESSMENT GROUP CERCLIS DECISION RECORD

Site Name:

Batchelor Oil

CERCLIS #:

MAD 985277787

Site Assessment Product Reviewed:

PA

SI

Other

State Coordinator: (1)

Nancy Smith

Date:

9-30-93

SAG Reviewers:

(2)

Don Smith

Date:

9-30-93

(3)

Date:

(4)

Date:

Recommended CERCLIS Decision:

Reviewer (1) (2) (3) (4)

NFRAP - No Site

Reviewer (1) (2) (3) (4)

NFRAP - No Waste

Reviewer (1) (2) (3) (4)

NFRAP - Petroleum Only

Reviewer (1) (2) (3) (4)

NFRAP - Low HRS Score

Reviewer (1) (2) (3) (4)

NFRAP - Other (Explain Under Comments)

Reviewer (1) (2) (3) (4)

Defer to RCRA/NRC

Reviewer (1) (2) (3) (4)

Continued Investigation Under CERCLA

Comments Reviewer (1):

Comments Reviewer (2):

Comments Reviewer (3):

Comments Reviewer (4):



SEMS DocID

621185

Site Name: Batchelor Oil
CERCLIS ID No.: MAD985297787
Street Address: 766 West Broadway
City/State/Zip: Gardner, MA 01440

Investigator: Richard Eichhorn
Agency/Organization: Roy F. Weston, Inc.
Street Address: 7 Eagle Square
City/State: Concord, NH

Date: 5-12-93

*Reviewed & Approved
for Release
N. Smith 9.30.93*

WASTE CHARACTERISTICS

Waste Characteristics (WC) Calculations:

1 Garage Floor Drains	Other	Ref: 1	WQ value	maximum
Volume	8.95E+03 cu ft		1.33E+02	1.33E+02

No records of oil storage and off-site disposal were available prior to 1977. We assume that some oily waste entered the garage floor drains during the 23 years of usage prior to 1977. We assume that an average of one gallon of waste entered the drain per week for 23 years as a result of routine vehicle maintenance and washing of floor drains. These estimates translate to 113 gallons (8946 cubic feet) discharged into the floor drains.

Ref: 1

Ground Water Pathway Criteria List
Suspected Release

Are sources poorly contained? (y/n/u)	Y
Is the source a type likely to contribute to ground water contamination (e.g., wet lagoon)? (y/n/u)	Y
Is waste quantity particularly large? (y/n/u)	U
Is precipitation heavy? (y/n/u)	Y
Is the infiltration rate high? (y/n/u)	N
Is the site located in an area of karst terrain? (y/n)	N
Is the subsurface highly permeable or conductive? (y/n/u)	N
Is drinking water drawn from a shallow aquifer? (y/n/u)	N
Are suspected contaminants highly mobile in ground water? (y/n/u)	N
Does analytical or circumstantial evidence suggest ground water contamination? (y/n/u)	Y
Other criteria? (y/n)	N

SUSPECTED RELEASE? (y/n) Y

Summarize the rationale for Suspected Release:

Groundwater at the site is believed to be relatively shallow (less than 20 feet), due to the presence of thick clay deposits beneath the site and occasional flooding of an on-site basement. According to the site owner, rainwater often ponds on the property during heavy rainfall events. The floor drains are located in the truck maintenance garages at the site. The floor drains are not believed to be connected to a municipal sewer system, and therefore likely discharge on-site.

Ref: 1

Ground Water Pathway Criteria List
Primary Targets

Is any drinking water well nearby? (y/n/u)	Y
Has any nearby drinking water well been closed? (y/n/u)	N
Has any nearby drinking water well user reported foul-testing or foul-smelling water? (y/n/u)	N
Does any nearby well have a large drawdown/high production rate? (y/n/u)	N
Is any drinking water well located between the site and other wells that are suspected to be exposed to a hazardous substance? (y/n/u)	U
Does analytical or circumstantial evidence suggest contamination at a drinking water well? (y/n/u)	N
Does any drinking water well warrant sampling? (y/n/u)	N
Other criteria? (y/n)	N

PRIMARY TARGET(S) IDENTIFIED? (y/n) N

Summarize the rationale for Primary Targets:

No primary target wells are expected to be associated with this site. The nearest public well is a community well located approximately one-third of a mile south of the site. This well serves the site lot and two abutting lots to the east. No records are kept by the Gardner Board of Health on drinking water complaints involving private wells. The Town of Gardner is not responsible for maintenance or monitoring of the community well. The nearest private well is located on the abutting property to the west, approximately 500 feet from a potential source area.

Ref 1,7

GROUND WATER PATHWAY SCORESHEETS

Pathway Characteristics

	Ref.
Do you suspect a release? (y/n)	Yes
Is the site located in karst terrain? (y/n)	No 1
Depth to aquifer (feet):	10 1
Distance to the nearest drinking water well (feet):	500 1
LIKELIHOOD OF RELEASE	References
1. SUSPECTED RELEASE	550
2. NO SUSPECTED RELEASE	0
LR =	550 0

Targets

TARGETS	Suspected Release	No Suspected Release	References
3. PRIMARY TARGET POPULATION person(s)	0		
4. SECONDARY TARGET POPULATION Are any wells part of a blended system? (y/n)	41	0	
5. NEAREST WELL	20	0	
6. WELLHEAD PROTECTION AREA >0 - 4 Miles	5	0	
7. RESOURCES	5	0	
T =	71	0	

WASTE CHARACTERISTICS

WC = 32 0

GROUND WATER PATHWAY SCORE:

15

Ground Water Target Populations

Primary Target Population Drinking Water Well ID	Dist. (miles)	Population Served	Reference	Value
None				
*** Note : Maximum of 5 Wells Are Printed ***				Total

Secondary Target Population Distance Categories	Population Served	Reference	Value
0 to 1/4 mile	25	2,3,4	2
Greater than 1/4 to 1/2 mile	86	2,3,4	3
Greater than 1/2 to 1 mile	73	2,3,4	2
Greater than 1 to 2 miles	389	2,3,4	9
Greater than 2 to 3 miles	2989	2,3,4	21
Greater than 3 to 4 miles	908	2,3,4	4
		Total	41

Apportionment Documentation for a Blended System

The municipal water supply for the town of Templeton is a blended system. The system consists of four wells, which contribute water to a common holding tank prior to distribution. The Sawyer Street well is located in East Templeton, approx. 1.1 miles west of the site. The Otter River well, in the village of Otter River, is located approximately 3.5 miles west of the site. The other two wells, Birch Hill #1 & #2, are located approx. 5 miles east of the site. The Sawyer Street and Otter River wells pump continuously, while the Birch Hill wells pump only as needed. Approx. apportionments are as follow: Otter River, 25%, Sawyer Street, 57%; Birch Hill, 9% each.

Ref: 4,6

Surface Water Pathway Criteria List
Suspected Release

Is surface water nearby? (y/n/u)	Y
Is waste quantity particularly large? (y/n/u)	U
Is the drainage area large? (y/n/u)	U
Is rainfall heavy? (y/n/u)	U
Is the infiltration rate low? (y/n/u)	Y
Are sources poorly contained or prone to runoff or flooding? (y/n/u)	U
Is a runoff route well defined (e.g. ditch/channel to surf. water)? (y/n/u)	N
Is vegetation stressed along the probable runoff path? (y/n/u)	N
Are sediments or water unnaturally discolored? (y/n/u)	U
Is wildlife unnaturally absent? (y/n/u)	U
Has deposition of waste into surface water been observed? (y/n/u)	N
Is ground water discharge to surface water likely? (y/n/u)	Y
Does analytical/circumstantial evidence suggest S.W. contam? (y/n/u)	Y
Other criteria? (y/n)	N

SUSPECTED RELEASE? (y/n) N

Summarize the rationale for Suspected Release:

No evidence of discharge to surface water was observed during the site reconnaissance.

Ref: 1

Surface Water Pathway Criteria List
Primary Targets

Is any target nearby? (y/n/u) If yes: Y
N Drinking water intake
Y Fishery
N Sensitive environment

Has any intake, fishery, or recreational area been closed? (y/n/u) N

Does analytical or circumstantial evidence suggest surface water
contamination at or downstream of a target? (y/n/u) Y

Does any target warrant sampling? (y/n/u) If yes: Y
N Drinking water intake
Y Fishery
N Sensitive environment

Other criteria? (y/n) N

PRIMARY INTAKE(S) IDENTIFIED? (y/n) N

Summarize the rationale for Primary Intakes:

Although there are drinking water intakes within 2 miles of the site, none are along the surface water pathway from the site. The water from the intakes are periodically sub-tested for parameters which include volatile organic compounds and metals. No elevated levels of the parameters of concern have been detected.

Ref: 3, 4, 5
continued -----

continued -----

Other criteria? (y/n) N

PRIMARY FISHERY(IES) IDENTIFIED? (y/n) Y

Summarize the rationale for Primary Fisheries:

There is a potential for waste oil releases to have occurred into the on-site floor drains, which likely discharge onto the site. The proximity of the Otter River to the site, and the likely groundwater to surface water relationship between the site and the river, create a high probability that on-site releases may impact the river.

Ref: 8,9

Other criteria? (y/n) N

PRIMARY SENSITIVE ENVIRONMENT(S) IDENTIFIED? (y/n) N

Summarize the rationale for Primary Sensitive Environments:

No sensitive environments have been identified along the 15 mile downstream pathway from the site.

Ref:

SURFACE WATER PATHWAY SCORESHEETS

Pathway Characteristics

Pathway Characteristics		Ref.
Do you suspect a release? (y/n)	No	
Distance to surface water (feet):	500	1
Flood frequency (years):	100	12
What is the downstream distance (miles) to:		
a. the nearest drinking water intake	N.A.	3,4
b. the nearest fishery?	0.1	8,9
c. the nearest sensitive environment?	N.A.	8,9

LIKELIHOOD OF RELEASE	Suspected Release	No Suspected Release	References
1. SUSPECTED RELEASE	0		
2. NO SUSPECTED RELEASE		500	
LR =	0	500	

CONFIDENTIAL

Drinking Water Threat Targets

TARGETS	Suspected Release	No Suspected Release	References
3. Determine the water body type flow (if applicable), and number of people served by each drinking water intake.			
4. PRIMARY TARGET POPULATION 0 person(s)	0		
5. SECONDARY TARGET POPULATION Are any intakes part of a blended system? (y/n):	0	0	
6. NEAREST INTAKE	0	0	
7. RESOURCES	0	5	
T =	0	5	

Drinking Water Threat Target Population

Intake Name	Primary (y/n)	Water Body Type/Flow	Population Served	Ref.	Value
None					
Total Primary Target Population Value					0
Total Secondary Target Population Value					0

*** Note: Maximum of 6 Intakes Are Printed ***

Apportionment Documentation for a Blended System

Not applicable.

Ref: 3,4,5

**DRAFT
CONFIDENTIAL**

Human Food Chain Threat Targets

TARGETS	Suspected Release	No Suspected Release	References
8. Determine the water body type and flow for each fishery within the target limit.			
9. PRIMARY FISHERIES	0		
10. SECONDARY FISHERIES	0	12	
	0	2	

Human Food Chain Threat Targets

Fishery Name	Primary (y/n)	Water Body Type/Flow	Ref.	Value
1 Otter River	N	>100-1000 cfs	10,11	12
2 Miller River		>100-1000 cfs	10,11	12
3 Clay-pit pond	N	Coastal, ocean, Gr. Lake	1	12
Total Primary Fisheries Value				0
Total Secondary Fisheries Value				0

*** Note : Maximum of 6 Fisheries Are Printed ***

TARGETS	Suspected Release	No Suspected Release	References
11. Determine the water body type and flow (if applicable) for each sensitive environment.			
12. PRIMARY SENSITIVE ENVIRONMENTS	0		
13. SECONDARY SENSITIVE ENVIRONS.	0	0	
T =	0		

[illegible]

Surface Water Pathway Threat Scores

Threat	Likelihood of Release (LR) Score	Targets (T) Score	Pathway Waste Characteristics (WC) Score	Threat Score $LR \times T \times WC$ 82,500
Drinking Water	500	5	32	1
Human Food Chain	500	12	32	2
Environmental	500	0	32	0
SURFACE WATER PATHWAY SCORE:				3

DRAFT
CONFIDENTIAL

Soil Exposure Pathway Criteria List
Resident Population

Is any residence, school, or daycare facility on or within 200 feet of an area of suspected contamination? (y/n/u) N

Is any residence, school, or daycare facility located on adjacent land previously owned or leased by the site owner/operator? (y/n/u) N

Is there a migration route that might spread hazardous substances near residences, schools, or daycare facilities? (y/n/u) N

Have onsite or adjacent residents or students reported adverse health effects, exclusive of apparent drinking water or air contamination problems? (y/n/u) U

Does any neighboring property warrant sampling? (y/n/u) U

Other criteria? (y/n/u) N

RESIDENT POPULATION IDENTIFIED? (y/n) N

Summarize the rationale for Resident Population:

Although there are residents within 200 feet of an area of suspected contamination, there does not appear to be a pathway for the waste to impact the residences. If waste is present, it is likely below the ground surface, which hinders exposure to the local residents and workers.

Ref: 1

SOIL EXPOSURE PATHWAY SCORESHEETS

Pathway Characteristics

	Ref.
Do any people live on or within 200 ft of areas of suspected contamination? (y/n)	No 1
Do any people attend school or daycare on or within 200 ft of areas of suspected contamination? (y/n)	No 1
Is the facility active? (y/n):	Yes 1

LIKELIHOOD OF EXPOSURE	Suspected Contamination	References
1. SUSPECTED CONTAMINATION LE	550	

Targets

2. RESIDENT POPULATION 0 resident(s) 0 school/daycare student(s)	0	1
3. RESIDENT INDIVIDUAL		
4. WORKERS 1 100	0	10
5. SENSITIVE ENVIRONMENT	0	
6. RESOURCES	0	
T	0	

WASTE CHARACTERISTICS

WC = 32

RESIDENT POPULATION THREAT SCORE:

2

NEARBY POPULATION THREAT SCORE:

1

Population Within 1 Mile: 1 - 10,000

SOIL EXPOSURE PATHWAY SCORE:

3

Soil Exposure Pathway Terrestrial Sensitive Environments

Terrestrial Sensitive Environment Name	Reference	Value
None		
Total Terrestrial Sensitive Environments Value		

*** Note : Maximum of 7 Sensitive Environments Are Printed ***

CONFIDENTIAL

Air Pathway Criteria List
Suspected Release

Are odors currently reported? (y/n/u) U

Has release of a hazardous substance to the air
been directly observed? (y/n/u) N

Are there reports of adverse health effects (e.g. headache,
nausea, dizziness) potentially resulting from migration
of hazardous substances through the air? (y/n/u) U

Does analytical/circumstantial evidence suggest release to air? (y/n/u) U

Other criteria? (y/n)

SUSPECTED RELEASE? (y/n) N

Summarize the rationale for Suspected Release:

No evidence of a release to the air or ground was observed during the site reconnaissance. No odors were observed during the site reconnaissance. OVM readings were within background levels, as measured by an Organic Vapor Meter (OVM), during the site reconnaissance.

Ref 1

AIR PATHWAY SCORESHEETS

Pathway Characteristics

			Ref.
Do you suspect a release? (y/n)			No
Distance to the nearest individual (feet):			1
LIKELIHOOD OF RELEASE	Suspected Release	No Suspected Release	References
1. SUSPECTED RELEASE	0		
2. NO SUSPECTED RELEASE		500	
LR =	0	500	

Targets

TARGETS	Suspected Release	No Suspected Release	References
3. PRIMARY TARGET POPULATION (0 people)	0		
4. SECONDARY TARGET POPULATION	0	23	
5. NEAREST INDIVIDUAL	0	20	
6. PRIMARY SENSITIVE ENVIRONS.	0		
7. SECONDARY SENSITIVE ENVIRONS.	0	1	
8. RESOURCES	0	5	
T =	0	49	

WASTE CHARACTERISTICS

WC =

0	32
---	----

AIR PATHWAY SCORE:

9

CONFIDENTIAL

Air Pathway Secondary Target Populations

Distance Categories	Population	References	Value
Onsite	3	10	1
Greater than 0 to 1/4 mile	43	2,3,4	1
Greater than 1/4 to 1/2 mile	1000	2,3,4	3
Greater than 1/2 to 1 mile	892	2,3,4	8
Greater than 1 to 2 miles	21554	2,3,4	8
Greater than 2 to 3 miles	2584	2,3,5	1
Greater than 3 to 4 miles	2318	2,3,5	1
Total Secondary Population Value			23

DRAFT
CONFIDENTIAL

Air Pathway Primary Sensitive Environments

Sensitive Environment Name	Reference	Value
None		

Total Primary Sensitive Environments Value

*** Note : Maximum of 7 Sensitive Environments Are Printed***
Air Pathway Secondary Sensitive Environments

Sensitive Environment Name	Distance	Reference	Value
1 Otter River Wetlands	0 - 1/4	9,10	0.6

Total Secondary Sensitive Environments Value

1

COINTEGRATED

SITE SCORE CALCULATION

	SCORE
GROUND WATER PATHWAY SCORE:	15
SURFACE WATER PATHWAY SCORE:	3
SOIL EXPOSURE PATHWAY SCORE:	3
AIR PATHWAY SCORE:	9
SITE SCORE:	9

DRAFT
CONFIDENTIAL

SUMMARY

1. Is there a high possibility of a threat to any nearby drinking water well(s) by migration of a hazardous substance in ground water? No

If yes, identify the well(s).

If yes, how many people are served by the threatened well(s)? 0

2. Is there a high possibility of a threat to any of the following by hazardous substance migration in surface water?

A. Drinking water intake

No

B. Fishery

Yes

C. Sensitive environment (wetland, critical habitat, others)

No

If yes, identify the target(s).

Otter River
Miller River

3. Is there a high possibility of an area of surficial contamination within 200 feet of any residence, school, or daycare facility? No

If yes, identify the properties and estimate the associated population(s)

4. Are there public health concerns at this site that are not addressed by PA scoring considerations? No

If yes, evaluate

REFERENCE LIST

1. WESTON/ARCS. 1993 (issued). Log Book No. 04100-010-006. Field Activities at Batchelor Oil, Gardner, MA. Work Assignment No. 10-IJZZ.
2. Ricker, J. (WESTON/ARCS). 1993. Phone conversation record with Ms. Vicki Lauderback (United States Census Bureau), Re: Population information for Gardner, MA and surrounding towns. March 18.
3. Ricker, J. (WESTON/ARCS). 1993. Phone conversation record with Mr. Peter Boukas (Gardner Water Department), Re: Water supply information for Town of Gardner. March 18.
4. Ricker, J. (WESTON/ARCS). 1993. Phone conversation record with Donald Previer (Templeton Water Department), Re: Water supply information for the Town of Templeton. March 18.
5. Ricker, J. (WESTON/ARCS). 1993. Phone conversation record with Bill Brennan (Ashburnham Water Department), Re: Water supply information for the Town of Ashburnham. March 18.
6. Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Water Supply. 1991. Community Public Water Supply Statistics for the Town of Templeton. March 11.
7. Eichhorn, R. (WESTON/ARCS). 1993. Phone conversation record with a representative of the Gardner Board of Health, Re: Drinking water complaints.
8. Beckett, G. (United States Department of the Interior). 1993. Letter to R. Eichhorn (WESTON/ARCS). Re: Federally listed threatened or endangered species within a four-mile radius of Batchelor oil. May 27.
9. United States Geological Survey. 1984. Fitchburg, Massachusetts Quadrangle Map, 7.5"x15" series (topographic).
10. United States Geological Survey. 1984. Athol, Massachusetts Quadrangle Map, 7.5"x15" series (topographic).
11. National Flood Insurance Program. 1981. Flood Insurance Rate Map, Town of Gardner, Worcester County, Massachusetts, Panel 8 of 9. July 2.

OMB Approval Number: 2050-0095
Approved for Use Through: 4/95

POTENTIAL HAZARDOUS WASTE SITE PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT FORM				IDENTIFICATION	
				State: MA	CERCLIS Number: MAD985297787
				CERCLIS Discovery Date: 8-18-91	
1. General Site Information					
Name: Batchelor Oil			Street Address: 766 West Broadway		
City: Gardner	State: MA	Zip Code: 01440	County: Worcester	Co. Code:	Cong. Dist:
Latitude: 42 33' 49.5"	Longitude: 72 0' 52.0"	Approx. Area of Site: 75000 sq feet	Status of Site: Active		
2. Owner/Operator Information					
Owner: Charles Manca			Operator: Charles Manca		
Street Address: 766 West Broadway			Street Address: 766 West Broadway		
City: Gardner			City: Gardner		
State: MA	Zip Code: 01440	Telephone: 508-632-5637	State: MA	Zip Code: 01440	Telephone: 508-632-5637
Type of Ownership: Private			How Initially Identified: Federal Program		

POTENTIAL HAZARDOUS

WASTE SITE

PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT FORM

IDENTIFICATION

State: MA CERCLIS Number: MAD985297787

CERCLIS Discovery Date: 09-18-91

3. Site Evaluator Information

Name of Evaluator:
Richard Eichhorn

Agency/Organization:
Roy F. Weston, Inc.

Date Prepared:
05-12-93

Street Address:
7 Eagle Square

City:
Concord

State:
NH

Name of EPA or State Agency Contact:
Nancy Smith

Telephone:
603-373-9697

Street Address:
JFK Federal Building, Canal Street

City:
Boston

State:
MA

4. Site Disposition (for EPA use only)

Emergency
Response/Removal
Assessment
Recommendation: No

CERCLIS
Recommendation:
Other

Signature:

Name:

Date:

Date:

Position:

CONFIDENTIAL

POTENTIAL HAZARDOUS

WASTE SITE

PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT FORM

IDENTIFICATION

State: MA CERCLIS Number: MAD985297787

CERCLIS Discovery Date: 09-18-91

5. General Site Characteristics

Predominant Land Uses Within 1 Mile of Site:
Commercial
Residential
Forest/Fields
Other:

Site Setting: Suburban

Years of Operation:
Beginning Year: 1952
Ending Year: 1993

Type of Site Operations:
Mining
Non-Metallic Minerals
Retail
RCRA
Small Quantity Generator

Waste Generated:
Onsite

Waste Deposition Authorized
By: Former Owner

Waste Accessible to the Public
No

Distance to Nearest Dwelling,
School, or Workplace:
0 Feet

6. Waste Characteristics Information

Source Type: Other
Quantity: 8.95e+03 cu ft
Tier: V

General Types of Waste:
Organics
Oily Waste

Tier Legend

C = Constituent
W = Wastestream
V = Volume
A = Area

Physical State of Waste as Deposited
Liquid

POTENTIAL HAZARDOUS

WASTE SITE

PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT FORM

IDENTIFICATION

State: MA CERCLIS Number: MAD985297787

CERCLIS Discovery Date: 09-18-91

7. Ground Water Pathway

Is Ground Water Used
for Drinking Water
Within 4 Miles:
No

Is There a Suspected
Release to Ground
Water:
Yes

List Secondary Target
Population Served by
Ground Water Withdrawn
From:

Type of Ground Water
Wells Within 4 Miles:
Municipal
Private

Have Primary Target
Drinking Water Wells
Been Identified: No

0 - 1/4 Mile	25
>1/4 - 1/2 Mile	86
>1/2 - 1 Mile	73
>1 - 2 Miles	389
>2 - 3 Miles	2989
>3 - 4 Miles	908
Total	4470

Depth to
Shallowest Aquifer:
10 Feet

Karst Terrain/Aquifer
Present:
No

Nearest Designated
Wellhead Protection
Area:
>0 - 4 Miles

CONFIDENTIAL

POTENTIAL HAZARDOUS

WASTE SITE

PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT FORM

IDENTIFICATION

State: MA CERCLIS Number: MAD985297787

CERCLIS Discovery Date: 09-18-91

8. Surface Water Pathway

Part 1 of 4

Type of Surface Water Draining
Site and 15 Miles Downstream:

River
Pond

Shortest Overland Distance From Any
Source to Surface Water:

500 Feet
0.1 Miles

Is there a Suspected Release to
Surface Water:

Site is Located in:
>20 yr 100 yr floodplai

8. Surface Water Pathway

Part 2 of 4

Drinking Water Intakes Along the Surface Water Migration Path: No

Have Primary Target Drinking Water Intakes Been Identified: No

Secondary Target Drinking Water Intakes:
None

POTENTIAL HAZARDOUS

WASTE SITE

PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT FORM

IDENTIFICATION

State: MA CERCLIS Number: MAD985237787

CERCLIS Discovery Date: 09-18-91

8. Surface Water Pathway

Part 3 of 4

Fisheries Located Along the Surface Water Migration Path: Yes

Have Primary Target Fisheries Been Identified: Yes

Secondary Target Fisheries:

Fishery Name	Water Body Type/Flow(cfs)
Otter River	moderate-large stream/ >100-1000
Miller River	moderate-large stream/ >100-1000
Clay-pit pond	Coastal, ocean, Gr. Lakes

8. Surface Water Pathway

Part 4 of 4

Wetlands Located Along the Surface Water Migration Path? (y/n) Yes

Have Primary Target Wetlands Been Identified? (y/n) No

Secondary Target Wetlands:

None

Other Sensitive Environments Along the Surface Water Migration Path: No

Have Primary Target Sensitive Environments Been Identified: No

Secondary Target Sensitive Environments:

None

POTENTIAL HAZARDOUS

WASTE SITE

PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT FORM

IDENTIFICATION

State: MA CERCLIS Number: MAD985297787

CERCLIS Discovery Date: 09-18-91

9. Soil Exposure Pathway

Are People Occupying Residences or
Attending School or Daycare on or
Within 200 Feet of Areas of Known
or Suspected Contamination: No

Number of Workers Onsite: 1 - 100

Have Terrestrial Sensitive Environments Been Identified on or Within
200 Feet of Areas of Known or Suspected Contamination: No

10. Air Pathway

Total Population on or Within	
Onsite	3
0 - 1/4 Mile	43
>1/4 - 1/2 Mile	2000
>1/2 - 1 Mile	3892
>1 - 2 Miles	554
>2 - 3 Miles	2378
>3 - 4 Miles	2378
Total	31394

Is There a Suspected Release to Air: No

Wetlands Located

Within 4 Miles of the Site: Yes

Other Sensitive Environments Located

Within 4 Miles of the Site: No

Sensitive Environments Within 1/2 Mile of the Site:

Distance	Sensitive Environment Type/Wetlands Area(acres)
0 - 1/4	Wetlands (1 to 50 acres)

REFERENCE LIST

1. WESTON/ARCS. 1993 (issued). Log Book No. 04100-010-006. Field Activities at Batchelor Oil, Gardner, MA. Work Assignment No. 10-IJZZ.
2. Ricker, J. (WESTON/ARCS). 1993. Phone conversation record with Ms. Vicki Lauderback (United States Census Bureau), Re: Population information for Gardner, MA and surrounding towns. March 18.
3. Ricker, J. (WESTON/ARCS). 1993. Phone conversation record with Mr. Peter Boukas (Gardner Water Department), Re: Water supply information for Town of Gardner. March 18.
4. Ricker, J. (WESTON/ARCS). 1993. Phone conversation record with Donald Previer (Templeton Water Department), Re: Water supply information for the Town of Templeton. March 18.
5. Ricker, J. (WESTON/ARCS). 1993. Phone conversation record with Bill Brennan (Ashburnham Water Department), Re: Water supply information for the Town of Ashburnham. March 18.
6. Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Water Supply. 1991. Community Public Water Supply Statistics for the Town of Templeton. March 11.
7. Eichhorn, R. (WESTON/ARCS). 1993. Phone conversation record with a representative of the Gardner Board of Health, Re: Drinking water complaints.
8. Beckett, G. (United States Department of the Interior). 1993. Letter to R. Eichhorn (WESTON/ARCS). Re: Federally listed threatened or endangered species within a four-mile radius of Batchelor oil. May 27.
9. United States Geological Survey. 1984. Fitchburg, Massachusetts Quadrangle Map, 7.5"x15" series (topographic).
10. United States Geological Survey. 1984. Athol, Massachusetts Quadrangle Map, 7.5"x15" series (topographic).
11. National Flood Insurance Program. 1981. Flood Insurance Rate Map, Town of Gardner, Worcester County, Massachusetts, Panel 8 of 9. July 2.